

Grüss-Type Inequalities Obtained with a Generalized Fractional Integral Operator

Çağrı AŞAK^a, Mustafa GÜRBÜZ^b

^aSecondary School of Ahmet Alagöz, Ağrı TURKEY

^bDepartment of Primary Mathematics Education, Faculty of Education,
University of Ağrı İbrahim Çeçen, Ağrı, TURKEY.

Abstract. In this study, new inequalities have been obtained by employing a generalized fractional integral operator which generalizes some fractional integral operators existing in the literature. In the initial step of the study, certain general properties related to Grüss type inequalities were discussed, followed by establishing a hierarchy among some fractional integral operators already existing in the literature. In the main results section, new lemmas and inequalities of Grüss type have been obtained using the aforementioned fractional integral operator.

1. Introduction

Inequalities have become a highly sought-after in the last decades due to their increasing utilization in various domains and their capacity to introduce a different perspective into science. One of the most crucial inequalities using in this field is the following which is called Grüss inequality (see [1]). Grüss proved that, for two integrable functions f and g defined on $[a, b]$:

$$\left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x)dx \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{4}(\Phi_2 - \Phi_1)(\Psi_2 - \Psi_1) \quad (1)$$

holds where

$$\Phi_1 \leq f(x) \leq \Phi_2 \text{ and } \Psi_1 \leq g(x) \leq \Psi_2, \quad \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2)$$

The well-known Grüss inequality is denoted as inequality (1) in this study. Scholars from all around the globe have shown significant interest in it. As an example, Elezovic et al.[2] formulated a series of Grüss-type inequalities associated with the Chebyshev functional within function spaces denoted as L_p with weight functions and exponents. Liu and Ngo [3] introduced an Ostrowski-Grüss type inequality on

Corresponding author: MG: mgurbuz@agri.edu.tr ORCID:0000-0002-7092-4298, ÇA:0009-0009-9707-7891

Received: 21 June 2024; Accepted: 2 September 2024; Published: 30 September 2024.

Keywords. Fractional integral inequalities, generalized fractional integral operator, Grüss-type fractional inequalities.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A33, 26D10

Cited this article as: Aşak, Ç. & Gürbüz, M. (2024). Grüss-Type Inequalities Obtained with a Generalized Fractional Integral Operator. Turkish Journal of Science, Turkish Journal of Science, 9(2), 162–173.

time scales, consolidating analogous versions from continuous, discrete, and quantum calculus. Dragomir [4] derived precise Grüss-type inequalities for functions exhibiting bounded variation when combined with self-adjoint operators in a Hilbert space. Additionally, Dragomir [5] derived a number of Grüss-type inequalities for complex integrals, taking into account various underlying assumptions. One can see [6] to see more inequalities of Grüss type.

Definition 1.1. ([7]) *The function $f : [u, v] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex, if we have*

$$f(\lambda t_1 + (1 - \lambda)t_2) \leq \lambda f(t_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(t_2)$$

for all $t_1, t_2 \in [u, v]$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

In recent years, a multitude of generalizations, variations, and extensions of this inequality have been published, stemming from the concept of convexity ([8]-[9]). Over the past few decades, fractional calculus has witnessed significant growth in popularity and importance, primarily owing to its applications across various diverse and extensive fields. Recently, there has been a growing interest in the utilization of fractional integral operators to reinvigorate well-established integral inequalities. For example in [13] the obtained results on inequalities are demonstrated through an illustrative example, accompanied by 2D and 3D graphical representations. Also in [14], Abdeljawad et al. obtained an extension of Schweitzer’s inequality to Riemann-Liouville fractional integral.

Initially, let’s review the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral, as defined by [10], which will have continued significance in the context of this paper.

Definition 1.2. *Let $f \in L_1[u, v]$, the Riemann-Liouville integrals $I_{u^+}^\alpha f$ and $I_{v^-}^\alpha f$ of order α with $u \geq 0$ are defined by*

$$I_{u^+}^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_u^x (x - \delta)^{\alpha-1} f(\delta) d\delta, \quad x > u, \tag{3}$$

$$I_{v^-}^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^v (\delta - x)^{\alpha-1} f(\delta) d\delta, \quad x < v. \tag{4}$$

Here $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the familiar Gamma function and $I_{u^+}^0 f(x) = I_{v^-}^0 f(x) = f(x)$.

Definition 1.3. ([10]) *Let $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive monotone and increasing function on $[a, b]$, and $h'(\delta)$ is continuously differentiable on $[a, b]$. Then $I_{a^+h}^\alpha w(x)$ and $I_{b^-h}^\alpha w(x)$ fractional integrals of w with respect to the function h on $[a, b]$ of the order $\alpha > 0$ are defined by*

$$I_{a^+h}^\alpha w(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{h'(\delta) w(\delta)}{[h(x) - h(\delta)]^{1-\alpha}} d\delta, \quad x > a \tag{5}$$

$$I_{b^-h}^\alpha w(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^b \frac{h'(\delta) w(\delta)}{[h(\delta) - h(x)]^{1-\alpha}} d\delta, \quad x < b \tag{6}$$

Notice that for $h(x) = x$, fractional integrals (5) and (6) become Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals (3) and (4). Conversely, when $h(x) = \ln x$, fractional integrals (5) and (6) are transformed into Hadamard fractional integrals.

Definition 1.4. ([12]) *Let $f \in L[u, v]$, the generalized fractional integral operators $I_{u^+\phi} f$ and $I_{v^-\phi} f$ are defined by*

$$I_{u^+\phi} f(x) = \int_u^x \frac{\phi(x - \delta)}{x - \delta} f(\delta) d\delta, \quad x > u,$$

$$I_{v^-\phi} f(x) = \int_x^v \frac{\phi(\delta - x)}{\delta - x} f(\delta) d\delta, \quad x < v,$$

where a function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\phi(\delta)}{\delta} d\delta < \infty, \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{1}{T_1} \leq \frac{\phi(\theta)}{\phi(\xi)} \leq T_1, \quad \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\theta}{\xi} \leq 2, \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi^2} \leq T_2 \frac{\phi(\theta)}{\theta^2}, \quad \text{for } \theta \leq \xi, \tag{9}$$

$$\left| \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi^2} - \frac{\phi(\theta)}{\theta^2} \right| \leq T_3 |\xi - \theta| \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi^2}, \quad \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\theta}{\xi} \leq 2, \tag{10}$$

where $T_1, T_2, T_3 > 0$ are independent of $\theta, \xi > 0$. If $\phi(\xi)\xi^\alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$) is increasing and $\frac{\phi(\xi)}{\xi^\beta}$ ($\beta > 0$) is decreasing, then ϕ satisfies (7) to (10).

Definition 1.5. ([11]) Assume that $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a monotone, non-negative and increasing function on $[a, b]$. Also let its derivative function be continuously differentiable on $[a, b]$. Furthermore assume that $w : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive and integrable function and the function σ satisfies (7) to (10). Under these conditions, ${}^k_{a^+}I_{h\sigma}^\alpha w(x)$ and ${}^k_{b^-}I_{h\sigma}^\alpha w(x)$ fractional integrals of w with respect to the function h on $[a, b]$ of order $\alpha > 0$ are defined by

$${}^k_{a^+}I_{h\sigma}^\alpha w(x) = \frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\delta))}{h(x) - h(\delta)} h'(\delta) \cdot (h(x) - h(\delta))^{\frac{\alpha}{k}-1} w(\delta) d\delta, \quad x > a, \tag{11}$$

and

$${}^k_{b^-}I_{h\sigma}^\alpha w(x) = \frac{1}{k\Gamma_k(\alpha)} \int_x^b \frac{\sigma(h(\delta) - h(x))}{h(\delta) - h(x)} h'(\delta) \cdot (h(\delta) - h(x))^{\frac{\alpha}{k}-1} w(\delta) d\delta, \quad x < b. \tag{12}$$

The general operator given above reduces to usual Riemann integral, k -Riemann-Liouville fractional integral, fractional integral operator given at Definition 1.2, fractional integral operator given at Definition 1.3, fractional integral operator given at Definition 1.4, Hadamard fractional integral, etc. under some special conditions.

The motivation of this study is to obtain general inequalities which are valid for some fractional integral inequalities given above under special selections. Also obtaining results with respect to another function is motivating because they are likely to produce very valuable results.

2. Main Results

In this part new lemmas and theorems are obtained using new generalized fractional integral operator given in (11) and (12).

Theorem 2.1. Assume that f and g are two positive integrable functions that satisfy the condition (2) on $[a, b]$. Furthermore, let u and v be two nonnegative, continuous functions on the interval $[a, b]$. Then, the following result holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \begin{aligned} & ({}^{k_1}_{a^+}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}^{k_2}_{a^+}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vfg)(x) + ({}^{k_2}_{a^+}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) ({}^{k_1}_{a^+}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} ufg)(x) \\ & - ({}^{k_1}_{a^+}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf)(x) ({}^{k_2}_{a^+}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vfg)(x) - ({}^{k_2}_{a^+}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf)(x) ({}^{k_1}_{a^+}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} ug)(x) \end{aligned} \right| \\ & \leq ({}^{k_1}_{a^+}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}^{k_2}_{a^+}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) (\Phi_2 - \Phi_1) (\Psi_2 - \Psi_1). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, k_1, k_2 > 0$.

Proof. Let $Z(\tau, \rho)$ be defined by

$$Z(\tau, \rho) = (f(\tau) - f(\rho))(g(\tau) - g(\rho)), \quad \forall \tau, \rho \in [a, b]. \tag{14}$$

Multiplying both sides of (14) by $u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau)(h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} v(\rho)$

$\times \frac{1}{k_2 \Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} h'(\rho)(h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2}-1}$ and integrating the obtained inequality with regard to τ and ρ from a to x , respectively we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\tau))}{h(x) - h(\tau)} h'(\tau)(h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} \\ & \times v(\rho) \frac{1}{k_2 \Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\rho))}{h(x) - h(\rho)} h'(\rho)(h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2}-1} Z(\tau, \rho) d\tau d\rho \\ & = \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u \right)(x) \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v f g \right)(x) + \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v \right)(x) \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f g \right)(x) \\ & \quad - \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f \right)(x) \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v g \right)(x) - \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v f \right)(x) \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g \right)(x). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

According to the condition(2), we have

$$|Z(\tau, \rho)| = |(f(\tau) - f(\rho))(g(\tau) - g(\rho))| \leq (\Phi_2 - \Phi_1)(\Psi_2 - \Psi_1), \quad \forall \tau, \rho \in [a, b] \tag{16}$$

Combining(15) and (16), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \begin{aligned} & \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u \right)(x) \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v f g \right)(x) + \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v \right)(x) \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f g \right)(x) \\ & - \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f \right)(x) \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v g \right)(x) - \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v f \right)(x) \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g \right)(x) \end{aligned} \right| \\ & \leq \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\tau))}{h(x) - h(\tau)} h'(\tau) \\ & \quad \times (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} v(\rho) \frac{1}{k_2 \Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\rho))}{h(x) - h(\rho)} \\ & \quad \times h'(\rho)(h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2}-1} |Z(\tau, \rho)| d\tau d\rho \\ & \leq \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\tau))}{h(x) - h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} v(\rho) \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{k_2 \Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\rho))}{h(x) - h(\rho)} h'(\rho)(h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2}-1} (\Phi_2 - \Phi_1)(\Psi_2 - \Psi_1) d\tau d\rho \\ & = \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u \right)(x) \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v \right)(x) (\Phi_2 - \Phi_1)(\Psi_2 - \Psi_1). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

This ends the proof. \square

Theorem 2.2. Assume that f and g are two positive integrable functions that satisfy the Lipschitz condition with constants L_1 and L_2 . Furthermore, let u and v be two nonnegative, continuous functions on the interval $[a, b]$. Then, the following result holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \begin{aligned} & \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u \right)(x) \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v f g \right)(x) + \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v \right)(x) \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f g \right)(x) \\ & - \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f \right)(x) \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v g \right)(x) - \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v f \right)(x) \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g \right)(x) \end{aligned} \right| \\ & \leq L_1 L_2 \left(\left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u \right)(x) \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v i^2 \right)(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v \right)(x) \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u i^2 \right)(x) - 2 \left({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u i \right)(x) \left({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v i \right)(x) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Proof. Based on the conditions outlined in Theorem 2.2, for $\tau, \rho \in [a, b]$ it can be derived that

$$|f(\tau) - f(\rho)| \leq L_1 |\tau - \rho| \quad \text{and} \quad |g(\tau) - g(\rho)| \leq L_2 |\tau - \rho|, \tag{19}$$

which implies that

$$|Z(\tau, \rho)| = |f(\tau) - f(\rho)| |g(\tau) - g(\rho)| \leq L_1 L_2 (\tau - \rho)^2. \tag{20}$$

Combining (15) and (20), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\tau))}{h(x) - h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} v(\rho) \\ & \times \frac{1}{k_2 \Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\rho))}{h(x) - h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2} - 1} |Z(\tau, \rho)| d\tau d\rho \\ \leq & \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\tau))}{h(x) - h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} v(\rho) \\ & \times \frac{1}{k_2 \Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\rho))}{h(x) - h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2} - 1} L_1 L_2 (\tau - \rho)^2 d\tau d\rho \\ = & L_1 L_2 \left(({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_2} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v i^2)(x) \right. \\ & \left. + ({}_{a^+}^{k_2} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u i^2)(x) - 2 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u i)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_2} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v i)(x) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

This end the proof of Theorem 2.2. \square

Theorem 2.3. Given that f and g are two positive integrable functions satisfying conditions $f' \in L^p [a, b], g' \in L^q [a, b]$ and let u and v be two nonnegative continuous functions defined on the interval $[a, b]$, where $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r} > 1$ with $1/\mathbf{p} + 1/\mathbf{p}' = 1, 1/\mathbf{q} + 1/\mathbf{q}' = 1$ and $1/\mathbf{r} + 1/\mathbf{r}' = 1$. Under these assumptions, the following weighted fractional integral inequality is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left| ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f g)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right| \\ \leq & \|f'\|_{\mathbf{p}} \|g'\|_{\mathbf{q}} \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\tau))}{h(x) - h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} u(\rho) \\ & \times \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\rho))}{h(x) - h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} |\tau - \rho|^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}'} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}'}} d\tau d\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\alpha_1, k_1 > 0$.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (14) by $u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\tau))}{h(x) - h(\tau)} h'(\tau)$

$\times (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} u(\rho) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\rho))}{h(x) - h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1}$ and integrating the given result with respect to τ and ρ from a to x , we can state that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\tau))}{h(x) - h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} u(\rho) \\ & \times \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\rho))}{h(x) - h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} Z(\tau, \rho) d\tau d\rho \\ = & 2 \left(({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f g)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

On the other hand from (14), we have

$$Z(\tau, \rho) = \int_{\rho}^{\tau} \int_{\rho}^{\tau} f'(\theta)g'(\vartheta)d\theta d\vartheta, \quad \forall \tau, \rho \in [a, b]. \tag{24}$$

By employing the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$|(f(\tau) - f(\rho))| \leq |\tau - \rho|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left| \int_{\rho}^{\tau} |f'(\theta)|^p d\theta \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad |g(\tau) - g(\rho)| \leq |\tau - \rho|^{\frac{1}{q}} \left| \int_{\rho}^{\tau} |g'(\theta)|^q d\theta \right|^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{25}$$

Combining (24) and (25), we get

$$|Z(\tau, \rho)| \leq |\tau - \rho|^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}} \left| \int_{\rho}^{\tau} |f'(\theta)|^p d\theta \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left| \int_{\rho}^{\tau} |g'(\theta)|^q d\theta \right|^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{26}$$

According inequalities (23) and (26) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left| ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x)({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f g)(x) - ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x)({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right| \\ & \leq \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\tau))}{h(x) - h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} u(\rho) \\ & \quad \times \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\rho))}{h(x) - h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} |\tau - \rho|^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}} \\ & \quad \times \left| \int_{\rho}^{\tau} |f'(\theta)|^p d\theta \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left| \int_{\rho}^{\tau} |g'(\theta)|^q d\theta \right|^{\frac{1}{q}} d\tau d\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Applying the double integral Hölder inequality(27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left| ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x)({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f g)(x) - ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x)({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right| \\ & \leq \left[\int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\tau))}{h(x) - h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} u(\rho) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\rho))}{h(x) - h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} |\tau - \rho|^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}} \left| \int_{\rho}^{\tau} |f'(\theta)|^p d\theta \right|^{\frac{p}{p}} d\tau d\rho \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \quad \times \left[\int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\tau))}{h(x) - h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} u(\rho) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\rho))}{h(x) - h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1} - 1} |\tau - \rho|^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}} \left| \int_{\rho}^{\tau} |g'(\theta)|^q d\theta \right|^{\frac{q}{q}} d\tau d\rho \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Using the following properties

$$\left| \int_{\rho}^{\tau} |f'(\theta)|^p d\theta \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|f'\|_p^p \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \int_{\rho}^{\tau} |g'(\theta)|^q d\theta \right|^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|g'\|_q^q \tag{29}$$

then (28) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \left| ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f g)(x) - ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right| \tag{30} \\
 & \leq \left[\|f'\|_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{p}} \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x)-h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} u(\rho) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x)-h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} |\tau-\rho|^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}'}+\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}'}} d\tau d\rho \right]^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}} \\
 & \quad \times \left[\|g'\|_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{q}} \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x)-h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} u(\rho) \right]^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}'}} \\
 & = \|f'\|_{\mathbf{p}} \|g'\|_{\mathbf{q}} \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x)-h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} u(\rho) \\
 & \quad \times \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x)-h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} |\tau-\rho|^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}'}+\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}'}} d\tau d\rho
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the desired proof. \square

Theorem 2.4. Given that f and g are two positive integrable functions satisfying conditions $f' \in L^{\mathbf{p}} [a, b], g' \in L^{\mathbf{q}} [a, b]$ and let u and v be two nonnegative continuous functions defined on the interval $[a, b]$, where $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r} > 1$ with $1/\mathbf{p}+1/\mathbf{p}' = 1, 1/\mathbf{q}+1/\mathbf{q}' = 1$ and $1/\mathbf{r}+1/\mathbf{r}' = 1$. Under these assumptions, the following weighted fractional integral inequality is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v f g)(x) + ({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f g)(x) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) ({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v g)(x) - ({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v f)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right| \\
 & \leq \|f'\|_{\mathbf{p}} \|g'\|_{\mathbf{q}} \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x)-h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} \frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1 \\
 & \quad \times v(\rho) \frac{1}{k_2 \Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x)-h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2}-1} |\tau-\rho|^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}'}+\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}'}} d\tau d\rho \tag{31}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, k_1, k_2 > 0$.

Proof. Combining (17) and (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v f g)(x) + ({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f g)(x) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) ({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v g)(x) - ({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v f)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right| \\
 & \leq \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau) (h(x)-h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} v(\rho) \frac{1}{k_2 \Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} \\
 & \quad \times h'(\rho) (h(x)-h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2}-1} |\tau-\rho|^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}'}+\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}'}} \left| \int_{\rho}^{\tau} |f'(\theta)|^{\mathbf{p}} d\theta \right|^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}} \left| \int_{\rho}^{\tau} |g'(\theta)|^{\mathbf{q}} d\theta \right|^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}}} d\tau d\rho \tag{32}
 \end{aligned}$$

By applying the Hölder inequality for double integrals to (32) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \begin{aligned} & ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}u)(x)({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2}vfg)(x) + ({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2}v)(x)({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}ufg)(x) \\ & - ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}uf)(x)({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2}vg)(x) - ({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2}vf)(x)({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}ug)(x) \end{aligned} \right| \\
 \leq & \left[\int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1\Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau)(h(x)-h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} v(\rho) \frac{1}{k_2\Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \right. \\
 & \times \left. \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} h'(\rho)(h(x)-h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2}-1} |\tau-\rho|^{\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{1}{q'}} \left| \int_\rho^\tau |f'(\theta)|^p d\theta \right|^{\frac{r}{p}} d\tau d\rho \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 & \times \left[\int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1\Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau)(h(x)-h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} v(\rho) \frac{1}{k_2\Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \right. \\
 & \times \left. \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} h'(\rho)(h(x)-h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2}-1} |\tau-\rho|^{\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{1}{q'}} \left| \int_\rho^\tau |g'(\theta)|^q d\theta \right|^{\frac{r}{q}} d\tau d\rho \right]^{\frac{1}{r}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Using the following (29) can be rewritten as (33)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \begin{aligned} & ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}u)(x)({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2}vfg)(x) + ({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2}v)(x)({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}ufg)(x) \\ & - ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}uf)(x)({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2}vg)(x) - ({}^{k_2}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2}vf)(x)({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}ug)(x) \end{aligned} \right| \\
 \leq & \left[\|f'\|_p \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1\Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau)(h(x)-h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} v(\rho) \frac{1}{k_2\Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} \right. \\
 & \times \left. h'(\rho)(h(x)-h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2}-1} |\tau-\rho|^{\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{1}{q'}} d\tau d\rho \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 & \times \left[\|g'\|_q \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1\Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau)(h(x)-h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} v(\rho) \frac{1}{k_2\Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} \right. \\
 & \times \left. h'(\rho)(h(x)-h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2}-1} |\tau-\rho|^{\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{1}{q'}} d\tau d\rho \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 = & \|f'\|_p \|g'\|_q \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1\Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau)(h(x)-h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} \\
 & \times v(\rho) \frac{1}{k_2\Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} h'(\rho)(h(x)-h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2}-1} |\tau-\rho|^{\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{1}{q'}} d\tau d\rho
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

This concludes the demonstration of Theorem 2.4. □

Lemma 2.5. Consider an integrable function f defined on the interval $[a, b]$ that satisfies condition (2). Additionally, let u be a continuous function on $[a, b]$. Under these conditions, the following equality is valid

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}u)(x)({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}uf^2)(x) - ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}uf)(x)^2 \\
 = & \left(\Phi_2({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}u)(x) - ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}uf)(x) \right) \left(({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}uf)(x) - \Phi_1({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}u)(x) \right) \\
 & - ({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}u)(x)({}^{k_1}I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1}u(\Phi_2 - f)(f - \Phi_1))(x).
 \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, k_1, k_2 > 0$.

Proof. Given that f is an integrable function that meets the condition $\Phi_1 \leq f(x) \leq \Phi_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi_2 - f(\rho))(f(\tau) - \Phi_1) + (\Phi_2 - f(\tau))(f(\rho) - \Phi_1) \\ & - (\Phi_2 - f(\tau))(f(\tau) - \Phi_1) - (\Phi_2 - f(\rho))(f(\rho) - \Phi_1) \\ & = f^2(\tau) + f^2(\rho) - 2f(\rho)f(\tau) \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

for any $x, \rho, \tau \in [a, b]$. Multiplying both sides of (36) by $u(\rho) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} h'(\rho) \cdot (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1}$ and integrating the obtained inequality with regard to ρ from a to x , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (f(\tau) - \Phi_1) \left(\Phi_2 ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) \right) + (\Phi_2 - f(\tau)) \left(({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) \right. \\ & \left. - \Phi_1 ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right) - (\Phi_2 - f(\tau))(f(\tau) - \Phi_1) ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u (\Phi_2 - f)(f - \Phi_1))(x) \\ & = f^2(\tau) ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) + ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f^2)(x) - 2f(\tau) ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x). \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Multiplying both sides of (37) by $u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau) \cdot (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1}$ and integrating the obtained inequality with regard to τ from a to x , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) - \Phi_1 ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right) \left(\Phi_2 ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) \right) \\ & + \left(\Phi_2 ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) \right) \left(({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) - \Phi_1 ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right) \\ & - \left(({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u (\Phi_2 - f)(f - \Phi_1) \right) (x) ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \left(({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u (\Phi_2 - f)(f - \Phi_1) \right) (x) \\ & = ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f^2)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) + ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f^2)(x) - 2 ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x), \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

which gives (35). \square

Theorem 2.6. Consider two integrable functions f and g on the interval $[a, b]$ that satisfy condition (2). Additionally, let u and v be two non-negative continuous functions on $[a, b]$. Under these conditions, the following inequality is valid

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f g)(x) - ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} (\Phi_2 - \Phi_1) (\Psi_2 - \Psi_1) \left(({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (14) by $u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau)$

$\times (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} u(\rho) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} h'(\rho) \cdot (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1}$ and integrating the given result with respect to τ and ρ from a to x , we can state

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\tau))}{h(x) - h(\tau)} h'(\tau) \cdot (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} u(\rho) \\ & \times \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x) - h(\rho))}{h(x) - h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} Z(\tau, \rho) d\tau d\rho \\ & = 2 \left(({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f g)(x) - ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) ({}^{k_1}I_{a^+}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Utilizing the weighted Cauchy-Schwarz integral inequality for double integrals, we can express

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau) \cdot (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} u(\rho) \right. \\
 & \left. \times \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} Z(\tau, \rho) d\tau d\rho \right]^2 \\
 \leq & \left[\int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau) \cdot (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} u(\rho) \right. \\
 & \left. \times \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} (f(\tau) - f(\rho))^2 d\tau d\rho \right] \\
 \times & \left[\int_a^x \int_a^x u(\tau) \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau) \cdot (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} u(\rho) \right. \\
 & \left. \times \frac{1}{k_1 \Gamma_{k_1}(\alpha_1)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\rho))}{h(x)-h(\rho)} h'(\rho) (h(x) - h(\rho))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{k_1}-1} (g(\tau) - g(\rho))^2 d\tau d\rho \right] \tag{41} \\
 = & 4 \left(({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f^2)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x)^2 \right) \\
 & \times \left(({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g^2)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x)^2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\Phi_2 - f(\tau))(f(\tau) - \Phi_1) \geq 0$ and $(\Psi_2 - g(\tau))(g(\tau) - \Psi_1) \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u (\Phi_2 - f)(f - \Phi_1))(x) & \geq 0, \\
 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u (\Psi_2 - g)(g - \Psi_1))(x) & \geq 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Thus, from (42) and Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f^2)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x)^2 \\
 \leq & \left(\Phi_2 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) \right) \left(({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) - \Phi_1 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g^2)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x)^2 \\
 \leq & \left(\Psi_2 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right) \left(({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) - \Psi_1 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Combining (40),(41),(43) and (44), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f g)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right)^2 \\
 \leq & \left(\Phi_2 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) \right) \left(({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) - \Phi_1 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right) \\
 & \times \left(\Psi_2 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right) \left(({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) - \Psi_1 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Now using the elementary inequality $4xy \leq (x + y)^2$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we can state that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 4 \left(\Phi_2 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) \right) \left(({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u f)(x) - \Phi_1 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right) \\
 \leq & \left((\Phi_2 - \Phi_1) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right)^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 4 \left(\Psi_2 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) \right) \left(({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u g)(x) - \Psi_1 ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right) \\
 \leq & \left((\Psi_2 - \Psi_1) ({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right)^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

□

From (45)-(47), we obtain (39). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.6.

Lemma 2.7. Assume f and g are positive, integrable functions defined on $[a, b]$, and let u and v are continuous nonnegative functions on $[a, b]$. Then, the inequality given below holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{aligned} & ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vfg)(x) + ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x)({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} ufg)(x) \\ & - ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vg)(x) - ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf)(x)({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} ug)(x) \end{aligned} \right)^2 \\ \leq & \left(({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf^2)(x) + ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x)({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf^2)(x) - 2({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf)(x) \right) \\ & \times \left(({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vg^2)(x) + ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x)({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} ug^2)(x) - 2({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} ug)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vg)(x) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, k_1, k_2 > 0$.

Proof. By applying the weighted Cauchy-Schwarz integral inequality for double integrals, it can be deduced from (15) that (48) is obtained. \square

Lemma 2.8. Consider f as an integrable function on $[a, b]$, with u and v being two nonnegative continuous functions on $[a, b]$. Under these conditions, the following equation is established:

$$\begin{aligned} & ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf^2)(x) + ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x)({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf^2)(x) - 2({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf)(x) \\ = & \left(\Phi_2 ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf)(x) \right) \left(({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf)(x) - \Phi_1 ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) \right) \\ & - ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v(\Phi_2 - f)(f - \Phi_1))(x) \\ & + \left(({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf)(x) - \Phi_1 ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right) \left(({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) - ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf)(x) \right) \\ & - ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x)({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u(\Phi_2 - f)(f - \Phi_1))(x). \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (37) by $v(\tau) \frac{1}{k_2 \Gamma_{k_2}(\alpha_2)} \frac{\sigma(h(x)-h(\tau))}{h(x)-h(\tau)} h'(\tau) \cdot (h(x) - h(\tau))^{\frac{\alpha_2}{k_2} - 1}$ and integrating the obtained equation with respect to τ from a to x , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf)(x) - \Phi_1 ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) \right) \left(\Phi_2 ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf)(x) \right) \\ & - ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v(\Phi_2 - f)(f - \Phi_1))(x) \\ & + \left(\Phi_2 ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) - ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf)(x) \right) \left(({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf)(x) - \Phi_1 ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right) \\ & - ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x)({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u(\Phi_2 - f)(f - \Phi_1))(x) \\ = & ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf^2)(x) + ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x)({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf^2)(x) - 2({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf)(x). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

which gives (49) and proves the Lemma 2.8. \square

Theorem 2.9. Suppose that f, g are two positive integrable functions on $[a, b]$ satisfying the condition (2) and let u, v be two continuous nonnegative functions on $[a, b]$. Under these conditions we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{aligned} & ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vfg)(x) + ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x)({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} ufg)(x) \\ & - ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf)(x)({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vg)(x) - ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf)(x)({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} ug)(x) \end{aligned} \right)^2 \\ \leq & \left(\Phi_2 ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf)(x) \right) \left(({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf)(x) - \Phi_1 ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) \right) \\ & + \left(\Phi_2 ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) - ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vf)(x) \right) \left(({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} uf)(x) - \Phi_1 ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right) \\ & \times \left(\Psi_2 ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) - ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} ug)(x) \right) \left(({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vg)(x) - \Psi_1 ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) \right) \\ & + \left(\Psi_2 ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x) - ({}^{k_2}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} vg)(x) \right) \left(({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} ug)(x) - \Psi_1 ({}^{k_1}_{a^+} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, k_1, k_2 > 0$.

Proof. Since $(\Phi_2 - f(\tau))(f(\tau) - \Phi_1) \geq 0$ and $(\Psi_2 - g(\tau))(g(\tau) - \Psi_1) \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & -({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x)({}_{a^+}^{k_2} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v(\Phi_2 - f)(f - \Phi_1))(x) \\ & -({}_{a^+}^{k_2} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x)({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u(\Phi_2 - f)(f - \Phi_1))(x) \\ & \leq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u)(x)({}_{a^+}^{k_2} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v(\Psi_2 - g)(g - \Psi_1))(x) \\ & -({}_{a^+}^{k_2} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_2} v)(x)({}_{a^+}^{k_1} I_{h\sigma}^{\alpha_1} u(\Psi_2 - g)(g - \Psi_1))(x) \\ & \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

□

Applying Lemma 2.8 to f and g and using (52), (53) and Lemma 2.7, we obtain (51).

References

- [1] Mitrinović, D.S.; Pečarić, J.E.; Fink, A.M. *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1993.
- [2] Elezović, N.; Marangunić, L.J.; Pečarić, J.E. Some improvements of Grüss type inequality. *J. Math. Inequal.* **2007**, *1*, 425-436.
- [3] Liu, W.; Ngõ, Q.A. An Ostrowski-Grüss type inequality on time scales. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2009**, *58*, 1207-1210.
- [4] Dragomir, S.S. New Grüss' type inequalities for functions of bounded variation on applications. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2012**, *25*, 1475-1479.
- [5] Dragomir, S.S. On same Grüss' type inequalities for the complex integral. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A. Math. RACSAM* **2019**, *113*, 3531-3543.
- [6] Dragomir, S.S. Some integral inequalities of Grüss type. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **2002**, *31*, 397-415. Available online <https://insa.nic.in/writereaddata/UpLoadedFiles/IJPAM/20005b0e.397.pdf>
- [7] Peajcariaac, J.E., Tong, Y.L.: *Convex Functions, Partial Orderings, and Statistical Applications*. Academic Press, San Diego (1992)
- [8] Dragomir, S.S.: Hermite-Hadamard's type inequalities for operator convex functions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**(3), 766-772 (2011)
- [9] Du, L., Xu, R.: Some new Pachpatte type inequalities on time scales and their applications. *J. Math. Inequal.* **6**(2) 229-240 (2012)
- [10] Kilbas, A.A., Marichev, O.I., Samko, S.G.: *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*. Gordon and Breach, Switzerland (1993) Notes 21(2), 593-614 (2020)
- [11] Ni, J., Chen, G., & Dong, H. (2023). Study on Hermite-Hadamard-type inequalities using a new generalized fractional integral operator. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2023(1), 59.
- [12] Sarikaya, M. Z., & Ertugral, F. (2020). On the generalized Hermite-Hadamard inequalities. *Annals of the University of Craiova-Mathematics and Computer Science Series*, 47(1), 193-213.
- [13] H. Xu, A. Lakhdari, F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, and B. Meftah, On multiparametrized integral inequalities via generalized α -convexity on fractal set, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **48** (2025), 980-1002, DOI 10.1002/mma.10368.
- [14] Abdeljawad, T., Meftah, B., Lakhdari, A., & Alqudah, M. A. An extension of Schweitzer's inequality to Riemann-Liouville fractional integral. *Open Mathematics*, 22(1), 20240043 (2024).