The Representation and Finite Sums of the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal Numbers

Özgür Erdağ^a, Ömür Deveci^b

^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters, Kafkas University 36100, Turkey ^b Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters, Kafkas University 36100, Turkey

Abstract. In this paper, we regard the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal sequence and then we discuss the connection of the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal numbers and Jacobsthal numbers. Furthermore, we give the permanental, determinantal, combinatorial, and exponential representations, and the sums of the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal numbers by the aid of the generating function and generating matrix of this sequence.

1. Introduction

The well-known Jacobsthal sequence $\{J_n\}$ is defined by the following recurrence relation:

$$J_n = J_{n-1} + 2J_{n-2}$$

for $n \ge 2$ in which $J_0 = 0$ and $J_1 = 1$. It is easy to see that the characteristic polynomial of the Jacobsthal sequence is $j(x) = x^2 - x - 2$.

In [2], Aküzüm defined the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal sequence $\{J_n^p\}$ by the following homogeneous linear recurrence relation for any given *p* (3, 4, 5, ...) and $n \ge 0$

$$J_{n+p+4}^{p} = J_{n+p+3}^{p} + 3J_{n+p+2}^{p} - J_{n+p+1}^{p} - 2J_{n+p}^{p} + J_{n+2}^{p} - J_{n+1}^{p} - 2J_{n}^{p}$$

in which $J_0^p = \dots = J_{p+2}^p = 0$ and $J_{p+3}^p = 1$.

Corresponding author: ÖE mail address: ozgur_erdag@hotmail.com ORCID:https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8071-6794, ÖD ORCID:https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5870-5298

Received: 25 November 2021; Accepted: 21 December 2021; Published: 30 December 2021 *Keywords*. (Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal number, Matrix, Representation, Sum.)

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11K31; 11C20, 15A15

Cited this article as: Erdağ Ö, Deveci Ö. The Representation and Finite Sums of the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal Numbers, Turkish Journal of Science. 2021, 6(3), 134-141.

Also in [2], she gave the generating matrix of the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal sequence $\{J_n^p\}$ as follows:

$$PJ_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 & -2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(p+4)\times(p+4).}$$

The matrix PJ_p is entitled a Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal matrix. By an inductive argument, she obtained that

$$\left(PJ_{p}\right)^{n} = \begin{bmatrix} J_{n+p+3}^{p} & J_{n+p+4}^{p} - J_{n+p+3}^{p} & Pap\left(n+p+3\right) - J_{n+p+3}^{p} & Pap\left(n+p+4\right) - J_{n+p+4}^{p} - J_{n+p+3}^{p} \\ J_{n+p+2}^{p} & J_{n+p+3}^{p} - J_{n+p+2}^{p} & Pap\left(n+p+2\right) - J_{n+p+2}^{p} & Pap\left(n+p+3\right) - J_{n+p+3}^{p} - J_{n+p+2}^{p} \\ J_{n+p+1}^{p} & J_{n+p+2}^{p} - J_{n+p+1}^{p} & Pap\left(n+p+1\right) - J_{n+p+1}^{p} & Pap\left(n+p+2\right) - J_{n+p+2}^{p} - J_{n+p+1}^{p} & PJ_{p}^{*} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ J_{n+1}^{p} & J_{n+2}^{p} - J_{n+1}^{p} & Pap\left(n+1\right) - J_{n+1}^{p} & Pap\left(n+2\right) - J_{n+2}^{p} - J_{n+1}^{p} \\ J_{n+1}^{p} & J_{n+1}^{p} - J_{n}^{p} & Pap\left(n-J_{n}^{p} & Pap\left(n+1\right) - J_{n+1}^{p} - Pap\left(n+1\right) - J_{n+1}^{p} - J_{n+1}^{p} \\ \end{bmatrix}_{j}^{j}$$

where PJ_{p}^{*} is a $(p + 4) \times (p)$ matrix as follows:

$$PJ_{p}^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} Pap(n+3) & Pap(n+4) & \cdots & Pap(n+p) & -J_{n+p+2}^{p} - 2J_{n+p+1}^{p} & -2J_{n+p+2}^{p} \\ Pap(n+2) & Pap(n+3) & \cdots & Pap(n+p-1) & -J_{n+p+1}^{p} - 2J_{n+p}^{p} & -2J_{n+p+1}^{p} \\ Pap(n+1) & Pap(n+2) & \cdots & Pap(n+p-2) & -J_{n+p}^{p} - 2J_{n+p-1}^{p} & -2J_{n+p}^{p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ Pap(n-p+1) & Pap(n-p+2) & \cdots & Pap(n-2) & -J_{n-2}^{p} - 2J_{n-1}^{p} & -2J_{n}^{p} \\ Pap(n-p) & Pap(n-p+1) & \cdots & Pap(n-3) & -J_{n-1}^{p} - 2J_{n-2}^{p} & -2J_{n-1}^{p} \end{bmatrix}$$

for $n \ge p$.

In the literature, many authors studied number theoretic properties such as these obtained from homogeneous linear recurrence relations relevant to this paper; see for example, [5, 7, 8, 14, 15]. In [1, 3, 4, 10– 13, 16–20, 23], the authors defined some linear recurrence sequences and gave their various properties by matrix methods. In this paper, we investigate the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal sequence. Firstly, we discuss connections between the Jacobsthal and Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal numbers. Furthermore, we derive the permanental and determinantal representations of the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal numbers by using certain matrices which are obtained from the generating matrix of this sequence. Finally, we acquire the combinatorial and exponential representations and the sums of the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal numbers by the aid of the generating function and the generating matrix of this sequence.

2. Main Results

First, we derive a relationship between the above-described Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal sequence and Jacobsthal sequence.

Theorem 2.1. Let J(n) and J_n^p be the nth the Jacobsthal number and Padovan-p Jacobsthal numbers, respectively. *Then,*

$$J(n) = J_{n+p+2}^{p} - J_{n+p}^{p} - J_{n}^{p}$$

for $n \ge 0$ and $p \ge 3$.

Proof. The assertion may be proved by induction method on *n*. It is clear that $J(0) = J_{p+2}^p - J_p^p - J_0^p = 0$. Assume that the equation holds for $n \ge 1$. Then we must show that the equation holds for n + 1. Since the characteristic polynomial of the Jacobsthal sequence {J(n)}, is

$$j(x) = x^2 - x - 2$$

we obtain the following relations:

$$J(n + p + 4) = J(n + p + 3) + 3J(n + p + 2) - J(n + p + 1) - 2J(n + p) + J(n + 2) - J(n + 1) - 2J(n)$$

for $n \ge 1$. Hence, by a simple calculation, we have the conclusion. \Box

Now we take into account the relationship between the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal numbers and the permanents of a certain matrix which is obtained using the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal matrix $(PJ_p)^n$.

Definition 2.2. A $u \times v$ real matrix $M = [m_{i,j}]$ is called a contractible matrix in the k^{th} column (resp. row.) if the k^{th} column (resp. row.) contains exactly two non-zero entries.

Suppose that $x_1, x_2, ..., x_u$ are row vectors of the matrix M. If M is contractible in the k^{th} column such that $m_{i,k} \neq 0, m_{j,k} \neq 0$ and $i \neq j$, then the $(u - 1) \times (v - 1)$ matrix $M_{ij;k}$ obtained from M by replacing the i^{th} row with $m_{i,k}x_j + m_{j,k}x_i$ and deleting the j^{th} row. The k^{th} column is called the contraction in the k^{th} column relative to the i^{th} row and the j^{th} row.

In [6], Brualdi and Gibson obtained that per(M) = per(N) if *M* is a real matrix of order $\alpha > 1$ and *N* is a contraction of *M*.

Now we concentrate on finding relationships among the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal numbers and the permanents of certain matrices which are obtained by using the generating matrix of this sequence. Let $F_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = \left[f_{i,j}^{(p)}\right]$ be the $m \times m$ super-diagonal matrix, defined by

$$f_{i,j}^{(p)} = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau + 1 \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - 1, \\ & \text{if } i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m, \\ 1 & i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau + p + 1 \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - p - 1 \\ & \text{and} \\ i = \tau + 1 \text{ and } j = \tau \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - 1, \\ & \text{if } i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau + 2 \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - 2, \\ -1 & \text{and} \\ i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau + p + 2 \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - p - 2, \\ & \text{if } i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau + p + 2 \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - p - 2, \\ & \text{if } i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau + p + 3 \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - p - 3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

for $m \ge p + 4$. Then we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *For* $m \ge p + 4$ *,*

$$perF_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+p+3}^p.$$

Proof. Let us keep in view matrix $F_{m,p}^{Pa,J}$ and let the equation be hold for $m \ge p + 4$. Then we show that the equation holds for m + 1. If we expand the $perF_{m,p}^{Pa,J}$ by the Laplace expansion of permanent with respect to the first row, then we obtain

$$perF_{m+1,p}^{Pa,J} = perF_{m,p}^{Pa,J} + 3perF_{m-1,p}^{Pa,J} - perF_{m-2,p}^{Pa,J} - 2perF_{m-3,p}^{Pa,J} + perF_{m-p-1,p}^{Pa,J} - perF_{m-p-2,p}^{Pa,J} - 2perF_{m-p-3,p}^{Pa,J}.$$

Since

$$perF_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+p+3}^{p},$$

$$perF_{m-1,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+p+2}^{p},$$

$$perF_{m-2,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+p+1}^{p},$$

$$perF_{m-3,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+p}^{p},$$

$$perF_{m-p-1,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+2}^{p},$$

$$perF_{m-p-2,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+1}^{p},$$

and

$$perF_{m-p-3,p}^{Pa,J}=J_m^p,$$

we easily obtain that $perF_{m+1,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+p+4}^{p}$. So the proof is complete. \Box

Let
$$G_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = \left[g_{i,j}^{(p)}\right]$$
 be the $m \times m$ matrix, defined by

$$g_{i,j}^{(p)} = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau + 1 \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - 2, \\ \text{if } i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m, \\ 1 & i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau + p + 1 \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - p - 2 \\ \text{and} \\ i = \tau + 1 \text{ and } j = \tau \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - 2, \\ \text{if } i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau + 2 \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - 3, \\ -1 & \text{and} \\ i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau + p + 2 \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - p - 3, \\ \text{if } i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau + p + 3 \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - 4, \\ -2 & \text{and} \\ i = \tau \text{ and } j = \tau + p + 3 \text{ for } 1 \le \tau \le m - p - 3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

,

for $m \ge p + 4$. Then we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *For* $m \ge p + 4$ *,*

$$perG_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+p+2}^p.$$

Proof. Let us keep in view matrix $G_{m,p}^{Pa,J}$ and let the equation be hold for $m \ge p + 4$. Then we show that the equation holds for m + 1. If we expand the $perG_{m,p}^{Pa,J}$ by the Laplace expansion of permanent with respect to the first row, then we obtain

$$perG_{m+1,p}^{Pa,J} = perG_{m,p}^{Pa,J} + 3perG_{m-1,p}^{Pa,J} - perG_{m-2,p}^{Pa,J} - 2perG_{m-3,p}^{Pa,J} + perG_{m-p-1,p}^{Pa,J} - perG_{m-p-2,p}^{Pa,J} - 2perG_{m-p-3,p}^{Pa,J}.$$

Since

$$perG_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+p+2}^{p},$$

$$perG_{m-1,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+p+1}^{p},$$

$$perG_{m-2,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+p}^{p},$$

$$perG_{m-3,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+p-1}^{p},$$

138

$$perG_{m-p-1,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+1}^{p}$$
$$perG_{m-p-2,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m}^{p}$$

and

$$perG_{m-p-3,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m-1}^p$$

we easily obtain that $perG_{m+1,p}^{Pa,J} = J_{m+p+3}^{p}$. So the proof is complete. \Box

Suppose that $H_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = \left[h_{i,j}^{(p)}\right]$ be the $m \times m$ matrix, defined by

$$H_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = \begin{bmatrix} & (m-1) \text{ th} \\ & \downarrow \\ & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ & 1 & & \\ & 0 & G_{m-1,p}^{Pa,J} \\ & \vdots & & \\ & 0 & & \end{bmatrix}$$

for m > p + 4, then we have the following results:

Theorem 2.5. *For* m > p + 4*,*

$$perH_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = \sum_{i=0}^{m+p+1} J_i^p.$$

Proof. If we extend *per* $H_{m,p}^{Pa,J}$ with respect to the first row, we write

$$perH_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = perH_{m-1,p}^{Pa,J} + perG_{m-1,p}^{Pa,J}$$

Thence, by the results and an inductive argument, the proof is easily seen. \Box

A matrix *M* is called convertible if there is an $n \times n$ (1, -1)-matrix *K* such that $perM = det(M \circ K)$, where $M \circ K$ denotes the Hadamard product of *M* and *K*.

Now we give relationships among the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal numbers and the determinants of certain matrices which are obtained by using the matrices $F_{m,p}^{Pa,J}$, $G_{m,p}^{Pa,J}$ and $H_{m,p}^{Pa,J}$. Let m > p + 4 and let *R* be the $m \times m$ Hadamard matrix, defined by

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Corollary 2.6. *For* m > p + 4*,*

$$\det \left(F_{m,p}^{Pa,J} \circ R \right) = J_{m+p+3'}^{p}$$
$$\det \left(G_{m,p}^{Pa,J} \circ R \right) = J_{m+p+2}^{p}$$
$$\det \left(H_{m,p}^{Pa,J} \circ R \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m+p+1} J_{i}^{p}.$$

and

Proof. Since $perF_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = det(F_{m,p}^{Pa,J} \circ R)$, $perG_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = det(G_{m,p}^{Pa,J} \circ R)$ and $perH_{m,p}^{Pa,J} = det(H_{m,p}^{Pa,J} \circ R)$ for m > p + 4, by Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5, we have the conclusion. \Box

Let $K(k_1, k_2, ..., k_v)$ be a $v \times v$ companion matrix as follows:

$$K(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_v) = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & \cdots & k_v \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

For more details on the companion type matrices, see [21, 22].

Theorem 2.7. (*Chen and Louck* [9]) *The* (i, j) *entry* $k_{i,j}^{(n)}(k_1, k_2, ..., k_v)$ *in the matrix* $K^n(k_1, k_2, ..., k_v)$ *is given by the following formula:*

$$k_{i,j}^{(n)}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_v) = \sum_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_v)} \frac{t_j + t_{j+1} + \dots + t_v}{t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_v} \times \binom{t_1 + \dots + t_v}{t_1, \dots, t_v} k_1^{t_1} \cdots k_v^{t_v}$$
(1)

where the summation is over nonnegative integers satisfying $t_1 + 2t_2 + \cdots + vt_v = n - i + j$, $\binom{t_1 + \cdots + t_v}{t_1, \dots, t_v} = \frac{(t_1 + \cdots + t_v)!}{t_1! \cdots t_v!}$ is a multinomial coefficient, and the coefficients in (1) are defined to be 1 if n = i - j.

Then we can give combinatorial representations for the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal numbers by the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.8. Let J_n^p be the nth the Padovan-p Jacobsthal number for $n \ge p$. Then *i*

$$J_n^p = \sum_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{p+4})} {\binom{t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{p+4}}{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{p+4}}} 3^{t_2} (-1)^{t_3 + t_{p+3}} (-2)^{t_4 + t_{p+4}}$$

where the summation is over nonnegative integers satisfying $t_1 + 2t_2 + \cdots + (p+4)t_{p+4} = n-p-3$. *ii*.

$$F_n^{Pa,p} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{(t_1,t_2,\dots,t_4)} \frac{t_{p+4}}{t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{p+4}} \times \binom{t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{p+4}}{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{p+4}} 3^{t_2} (-1)^{t_3 + t_{p+3}} (-2)^{t_4 + t_{p+4}}$$

where the summation is over nonnegative integers satisfying $t_1 + 2t_2 + \cdots + (p+4)t_{p+4} = n+1$.

Proof. If we take i = p + 4, j = 1 for the case i. and i = p + 3, j = p + 4 for the case ii. in Theorem 2.7, then we can directly see the conclusions from $(PJ_p)^n$. \Box

The generating function of the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal sequence $\{J_n^p\}$ is obtained as follows:

$$g(x) = \frac{x^{p+3}}{1 - x - 3x^2 + x^3 + 2x^4 - x^{p+2} + x^{p+3} + 2x^{p+4}}$$

where $p \ge 3$.

Then, with the following theorem, we can deliver an exponential representation for the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal numbers by the aid of the generating function.

Theorem 2.9. Let g(x) be generating function of the Padovan-p Jacobsthal numbers. The following exponential representation for the Padovan-p Jacobsthal numbers as follows::

$$g(x) = x^{p+3} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x)^i}{i} \left(1 + 3x - x^2 - 2x^3 + x^{p+1} - x^{p+2} - 2x^{p+3}\right)^i\right),$$

where $p \ge 3$.

Proof. Since

$$\ln g(x) = \ln x^{p+3} - \ln \left(1 - x - 3x^2 + x^3 + 2x^4 - x^{p+2} + x^{p+3} + 2x^{p+4} \right)$$

and

$$-\ln\left(1-x-3x^{2}+x^{3}+2x^{4}-x^{p+2}+x^{p+3}+2x^{p+4}\right) = -\left[-x\left(1+3x-x^{2}-2x^{3}+x^{p+1}-x^{p+2}-2x^{p+3}\right)-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}\left(1+3x-x^{2}-2x^{3}+x^{p+1}-x^{p+2}-2x^{p+3}\right)^{2}-\cdots-\frac{1}{i}x^{i}\left(1+3x-x^{2}-2x^{3}+x^{p+1}-x^{p+2}-2x^{p+3}\right)^{i}-\cdots\right]$$

it is clear that

$$g(x) = x^{p+3} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x)^i}{i} \left(1 + 3x - x^2 - 2x^3 + x^{p+1} - x^{p+2} - 2x^{p+3}\right)^i\right)$$

by a simple calculation, we obtain the conclusion. \Box

Now we consider the sums of the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal numbers. Let

$$T_n = \sum_{i=0}^n J_i^p$$

for $n \ge p$ and $p \ge 3$, and let $K_p^{Pa,J}$ and $(K_p^{Pa,J})^n$ be the $(p + 5) \times (p + 5)$ matrix such that

$$K_p^{Pa,J} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ \vdots & & PJ_p & & \\ 0 & & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

If we use induction on *n*, then we obtain

$$\left(K_{p}^{Pa,J}\right)^{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ T_{n+p+2} & & & \\ T_{n+p+1} & & & \\ \vdots & & PJ_{p} & \\ T_{n} & & & \\ T_{n-1} & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

3. Conclusion

We considered a sequence called the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal sequence, which is obtained using polynomials characteristic of the Padovan *p*-sequence and the Jacobsthal sequence. Furthermore, using the generating matrix of the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal sequence, we obtained some new structural properties of the Padovan-*p* Jacobsthal numbers such as the generating functions, the permanental, combinatorial, determinantal, and exponential representations and the finite sums.

References

- [1] Akuzum Y. The Hadamard-type Padovan-p Sequences, Turkish Journal of Science. 5(2), 2020, 102–109.
- [2] Akuzum Y. The Padovan-p Jacobsthal Numbers and Binet Formulas. 3. International Baku Scientific Research Congress. 15-16 October 2021, Baku Eurasia University, Baku, Azerbaijan.
- [3] Akuzum Y, Deveci O, Shannon AG. On the Pell *p*-circulant Sequences. Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics. 23(2), 2017, 91–103.
- [4] Akuzum Y, Deveci O. The Arrowhead-Jacobsthal Sequences. Mathematica Montisnigri. Vol-LI, 2021, 31–44.
- [5] Bradie B. Extension and refinements of some properties of sums involving Pell number. Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences. 22(1), 2010, 37–43.
- [6] Brualdi RA, Gibson PM. Convex polyhedra of doubly stochastic matrices I: applications of permanent function. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A. 22(2), 1977, 194–230.
- [7] Cagman A. Explicit Solutions of Powers of Three as Sums of Three Pell Numbers Based on Baker's Type Inequalities. Turkish Journal of Inequalities. 5(1), 2021, 93–103.
- [8] Cagman A, Polat K. On a Diophantine equation related to the difference of two Pell numbers. Contributions to Mathematics. 3, 2021, 37–42.
- [9] Chen WYC, Louck JD. The combinatorial power of the companion matrix. Linear Algebra and its Applications. 232, 1996, 261–278.
- [10] Deveci O. On the connections among Fibonacci, Pell, Jacobsthal and Padovan numbers. Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics. 27(2), 2021, 111–128.
- [11] Deveci O, Karaduman E. On the Padovan p-numbers. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics. 46(4), 2017, 579–592.
- [12] Deveci O, Adiguzel Z, Akuzum Y. On the Jacobsthal-circulant-Hurwitz Numbers. Maejo International Journal of Science and Technology. 14(1), 2020, 56–67.
- [13] Erdag O, Deveci O. On The Connections Between Padovan Numbers and Padovan *p*-Numbers. International Journal of Open Problems in Computer Science and Mathematics. 13(4), 2020, 33–47.
- [14] Horadam A. Jacobsthal representations numbers. Fibonacci Quarterly. 34(1), 1996, 40-54.
- [15] Horadam A. Applications of modified Pell numbers to representations. Ulam Quarterly. 3(1), 1994, 34–53.
- [16] Kalman D. Generalized Fibonacci numbers by matrix methods. Fibonacci Quarterly. 20(1), 1982, 73–76.
- [17] Kilic E. The Binet fomula, sums and representations of generalized Fibonacci p-numbers. European Journal of Combinatorics. 29(3), 2008, 701–711.
- [18] Kilic E. The generalized Pell (*p*, *i*)-numbers and their Binet formulas, combinatorial representations, sums. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals. 40(4), 2009, 2047–2063.
- [19] Kilic E, Tasci D. The generalized Binet formula, representation and sums of the generalized order-k Pell numbers. Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics. 10(6), 2006, 1661–1670.
- [20] Koken F, Bozkurt D. On the Jacobsthal numbers by matrix methods. International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences. 3(13), 2008, 605–614.
- [21] Lancaster P, Tismenetsky M. The theory of matrices: with applications. Elsevier. 1985.
- [22] Lidl R, Niederreiter H. Introduction to finite fields and their applications. Cambridge UP. 1986.
- [23] Shannon AG, Erdag O, Deveci O. On the Connections Between Pell Numbers and Fibonacci p-Numbers. Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics. 27(1), 2021, 148–160.